

**Appendix G:
INDICATORS OF SUPERFICIALITY:
AVOIDING HYPERBOLE, CLICHES, AMBIGUITY,
AND EMPTY “BUZZ WORDS”**

The following are examples of statements made in cases that will tend to prejudice an educated and thoughtful reader against the writer.

MEANINGLESS AMBIGUITY

- “StarMedia should focus on the community it offers, which Latin Americans seem to be demanding, to maintain their early mover advantage.”
- “... StarMedia needs to, nonetheless, persevere and to establish itself as a leader in developing and tailoring the market to appeal to Spanish speakers. However, the company must be careful to steer clear of stereotyping and must always be educated and ware of the complexities and the uniqueness of the region.”

HYPERBOLE—general statements that at best represent exaggerations and ambiguity—e.g.,

- “[StarMedia] need only continue the following programs for prosperity in the future.” [First of all, unless the “programs” in question have been specified very precisely, the statement is not meaningful. Secondly, the term “prosperity” is not a business term and is overly vague. Thirdly, there is authority or compelling reasoning to suggest that the programs will continue to work in the future.]
- “Having all these partnerships make it difficult for StarMedia to lose overall market share...” [In truth, losing market share (unlike body weight!) is very easy. A more meaningful statement might be that these partnerships might “help ameliorate threats to market share.”]
- “Everyone has been trying to get a piece of the Spanish-speaking Internet market.”

CLICHES—“over-worn” phrases or “dime-store philosophy”—e.g.,

- “... has grown by leaps and bounds.”
- “Time will only tell what will become of StarMedia....”

EMPTY “BUZZ WORDS”—fancy terms mean very little when it is not demonstrated that the writer fully understands what they mean and how they apply in the respective context—e.g.,

- “Here are three factors that would allow StarMedia to succeed:
 - “Leveraging technological capabilities. [There is no indication that the writer has thought of how the term applies here—but it sounds fancy and profound!]
 - “Forging corporate partnerships early on. [When exactly should these happen? The firm has been in operation for some time now—is it too early, too late, or about time now? What kinds of partnerships should be forged?]
 - Collaborating with customers.” [How?]
- “StarMedia should compete in Latin America and the U.S. by being aggressive and leveraging its brand names, advertisers, and strategic partners.” [Easier said than done! Some relatively specific suggestions are needed for this mouthful to have any value.]

STATEMENTS WITHOUT ARTICULATED OR ADEQUATELY IMPLIED LOGIC [Note: Some of these conclusions might have had merit if supporting evidence or reasoning were to follow

immediately after their assertions.]—e.g.,

- “[StarMedia] is the leading Internet site in Latin America, with many dependent customers, so it will continue to grow.”
- “[StarMedia] has developed seven different versions ad... This is why StarMedia has survived and why it has been so popular, and why it will continue to be.”
- “One way for StarMedia to generate more revenues is to advertise more.”
- “With a low stock price, but with strong future annual reports, more investors will begin to invest.” [What is a “strong annual report” and how do we know that these will occur in the future?]

OVERLY GENERAL OBSERVATIONS—e.g.,

- “[It] would be more advantageous for Maytag to pursue entry into the Japanese market in conjunction with enterprises that are well entrenched in the market and can bring considerable knowledge and experience to the partnership. Simply, these partnerships should share complementary strengths in business, brands, and products.”